RESTORATIVE JUSTICE

Restorative justice is a philosophy based on a set of principles that serve to guide the response to conflict or harm. In contrast to retributive and rehabilitative justice strategies that focus primarily on the offender (including relying on punishment and treatment, respectively, to change offender behavior), restorative justice provides a framework by which the system can respond more holistically to offenders and their victims and the communities in which they live (Ashley & Stevenson, 2006).

Restorative justice principles can guide responses to conflicts in many settings, not just those caused by a violation of law. The goal of the philosophy is to balance the needs of three parties—those identified as offenders or law violators, the crime victim, and the affected community (Ashley & Stevenson, 2006). Although developed with the juvenile justice system in mind, the principles and components set forth within the restorative justice philosophy are quickly being integrated into adult justice system practices.

Restorative justice principals

The principles of restorative justice are:

- Crime is injury.
- Crime harms individual victims, communities, and offenders, and creates an obligation to address that harm.
- All parties should have an opportunity to respond to the crime, including victims, the community, and the offender.
- The victim's perspective is central in deciding how the harm should be repaired.
- Offender accountability means accepting responsibility for and acting to repair the harm done.

- The community is responsible for the wellbeing of its members, including both victim and offender.
- All human beings have dignity and worth.
- Restoration, repairing the harm, and rebuilding community relationships is the primary goal of restorative justice.
- Results are measured by how much repair was done rather than how much punishment was handed out.
- A high degree of crime control cannot be achieved without active community involvement.
- The justice process is respectful of age, abilities, sexual orientation, family status, and diverse cultures and backgrounds, whether racial, ethnic, geographic, religious, economic, or other. All are given equal protection and due process (Ashley & Stevenson, 2006).

Evidence for restorative justice

Research has shown that restorative justice:

- Offers a more cost-effective means to handle crime over the traditional court system (NCSA, 2001).
- Significantly reduces recidivism rates (Latimer, et. al., 2001).
 - Reduction of recidivism rates prior to 1996 were at 4 percent as opposed to 25 percent after 1995 (Petr, 2009).
- Increases satisfaction of victims (Nugent, et. al., 2004; Maxwell & Morris, 2004) and offenders with the justice system (Umbreit, 1998).
- Improves competencies of offenders (Roberts, 2005).
- Increases completion of restitution agreements (Umbreit, 1998).

- Positively impacts victim motivations and emotions, with restorative justice decreasing unforgiving motivations and anger and increasing positive responses to the offender (Witvliet, et. al., 2008).
- Can decrease reconviction rates and is effective in both adult and juvenile criminal justice systems (Maxwell & Morris, 2004).
- Utilizes mediation. This provides a humanistic approach and is considered more effective than court processes (Petr, 2009).
- Increases community involvement (CITE).
- Provides individualized attention and services for offenders and their victims (CITE).
- Lessens the fear felt by victims of crime (Roberts, 1995). In many areas, restorative justice is prohibited from being used to address crimes against women (Ptacek, 2010).

Restorative justice resources

The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority has published a set of profession-specific balanced and restorative justice guidebooks. They include:

Implementing balanced and restorative justice: A guide for prosecutors

http://www.icjia.org/public/pdf/BARJ/BARJprosec utors.pdf

Implementing balanced and restorative justice: A guide for law enforcement officers

http://www.icjia.org/public/pdf/BARJ/BARJ%20la w%20enforcement.pdf

Implementing balanced and restorative justice: A guide for defense attorneys

http://www.icjia.org/public/pdf/BARJ/BARJdefens e.pdf

Other resources

The Balanced and Restorative Justice Project Florida Atlantic University 111 E. Las Olas Blvd. Askew Tower, Suite 613 Ft. Lauderdale FL 33304 Phone: 954-762-5668 E-mail: odixon@fau.edu Website: <u>www.barjproject.org</u>

Center for Restorative Justice and Peacemaking School of Social Work University of Minnesota 1404 Gortner Ave., 105 Peters Hall St. Paul, MN 55108-6160 Phone: 612-624-4923 E-mail: rip@che.umn.edu Website: http://www.cehd.umn.edu/ssw/rip/

International Institute for Restorative Practices P.O. Box 229 Bethlehem, PA 18016 Phone: 610-807-9221 E-mail: info@restorativepractices.org Website: www.iirp.org

National Youth Court Center c/o American Probation and Parole Association P.O. Box 11910 Lexington, KY 40578-1910 Phone: 859-244-8193 E-mail: nycc@csg.org Website: www.youthcourt.net Youth website: <u>http://www.youthcourt.net/</u>

Restorative Justice Online Prison Fellowship International Centre for Justice and Reconciliation P.O. Box 17434 Washington, DC 20041 Phone: 703-481-0000 E-mail: rjonline@pfi.org Website: www.restorativejustice.org

References

Koss, M. and Achilles, M. (2008, February). *Restorative Justice Approaches to Sexual Violence*. Harrisburg, PA: VAWnet, a project of the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence/Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domes tic Violence. Retrieved 08/06/2010, from: http://www.vawnet.org Latimer, J., Dowden, C., and Muise, D. (2005). The Effectiveness of Restorative Justice Practices: A Meta-Analysis. *The Prison Journal*, June 2005 85: 127-144, doi:10.1177/0032885505276969.

McGarrell, E., Olivares, K., Crawford K., and Kroovand, N., Returning Justice to the Community: The Indianapolis Juvenile Restorative Justice Experiment," Indianapolis: IN: Hudson Institute Crime Control Policy Center, 2001.

Maxwell, G., and Morris, A. (2002). RESTORATIVE JUSTICE AND RECONVICTION. *Contemporary Justice Review*, *5*(2), 133.

Native Counseling Services of Alberta. (2008). Cost Benefit Analysis of Hollow Water's Community Holistic Circle Healing Process. Ottawa, Ontario: Solicitor General of Canada, Aboriginal Corrections Policy Unit.

Nugent, W., Umbreit M., Wiinamaki, L., and Paddock, J. (2004). Participation in Victim Offender Mediation and Severity of Subsequent Delinquent Behavior: Successful Replications? *Journal of Research in Social Work Practice*, 14.

Ptacek, J. (2010). Restorative Justice and Violence Against Women. New York, NY: Oxford Press.

Petr, C. (2009). Multidimensional evidence-based practice: synthesizing knowledge, research and values. New York, NY: Routledge.

Roberts, T. (1995). Evaluation of the Victim Offender Mediation Project. Langley, BC: Final Report. Victoria, BC: Focus Consultants.

Umbreit, M. (2004). Restorative Justice Through Victim-Offender Mediation: A Multi-Site Assessment. *Western Criminology Review*, 1(1). Witvliet, C., Worthington, E., Root, L., Sato, A., Ludwig, T., and Exline, J. (2008). Retributive justice, restorative justice, and forgiveness: An experimental psychophysiology analysis. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *44*(1), 10-25. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2007.01.009.